

2

3

5

4

7

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AND ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, Plaintiff Mary E. Barbour, as trustee of the Mary E. Barbour Family Trust One, by and through her attorneys, hereby inform the Court and the parties that the above captioned case is related to the cases of *Smajlaj v. Brocade Communications Systems Inc.*, et al., No. C 05-02042 CRB and *In re Brocade Communications Systems*, *Inc. Derivative Litigation* Case No. C 05-02233 CRB.

Local Rule 3-12(a) defines a case related to another when "(1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges."

Plaintiff Barbour's case is related to the previously-filed cases indicated above, both of which are pending before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer, because all three cases involve some common defendants, and involve stock options "backdating" at Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. All three cases contain one or more common factual issues and will involve related discovery.

DATED: April 18, 2008

PRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR.

JOHNSON BOTTINI, LLP FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. FRANK J. JOHNSON DEREK J. WILSON 655 West Broadway, Suite 1400

San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619/230-0063 Facsimile: 619/233-5535

Attorneys for Plaintiff Mary E. Barbour, as Trustee of the Mary E. Barbour Family Trust One